

BPN

Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number **20995****RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL**

Applicant : Camm, et al.
App. No : 10/777,995
Filed : February 12, 2004
For : HIGH-INTENSITY
ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION
APPARATUS AND METHODS
Examiner : Won, Bumsuk
Art Unit : 2879

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

April 20, 2006

(Date)

Che Swyden Chereskin, Ph.D., Reg. No. 41,466

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

- (X) Response to Restriction/Election of Species Requirement in 8 pages.
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.
- (X) Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Che Swyden Chereskin, Ph.D.

Registration No. 41,466

Agent of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404



United States
SMARB11.001AUS
Patents

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION

Serial Number:	10/777,995
Group Art Unit:	2879
Examiner:	Bumsuk WON
Title:	HIGH-INTENSITY ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION APPARATUS AND METHODS
Filing Date:	February 12, 2004
Inventors:	David M. CAMM; Chee CHIN; Rick DOOLAN; Tony HEWETT; Arne KJORVEL; Tony KOMASA; Mike KRASNICH; Steve McCOY; Joseph REYERS; Igor RUDIC; Ludmila SHEPELEV; Greg STUART; Tilman THRUM; Alex VIEL
Agent's ref:	SMARB11.001AUS (82145-12)

April 20, 2006

U.S. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231
United States

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE

This paper is responsive to the Restriction / Election of Species Requirement from the Examiner mailed March 20, 2006.

Summary of Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

none

Identification of Claims Discussed

all

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

none

Proposed Amendments

none

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

A voice message was left for Examiner Won regarding the election of species requirement. Applicants have identified claims 55-131 as corresponding to Species II and would like to elect that species. However, Species II includes embodiments with and without an exhaust chamber. Accordingly, Species II would seem to be generic to Species I and III. The undersigned requested that the Examiner contact her to confirm that Applicants' proposed course of action was in accordance with his intent.

Results of Interview

none